

## Resist Materials I



- Resist Basics
  - Sensitivity
  - Contrast
  - Coating and Film Formation
- Resist Requirements
  - Process Stability
  - Contrast
  - Sensitivity
  - Etch Resistance
  - Resolution


Introduction to Microlithography, L.F. Thompson, C.G. Willson and M.J. Bowden, ACS Professional Reference Book (1994)





## Demands on E-beam Systems





- Introduction of RET  $\geq$  mask features  $\approx 1x$
- Reduction in feature size > projection e-beam
  - Sensitivity <u>and</u> Resolution <u>and</u> Process Robustness needed




Data: Intel Corp./ITRS



## Effect of Radiation on Polymers



- Two competing effects: main chain scission (s) and cross-linking (x)
  - If scission dominates then the polymer chains become shorter and more soluble (positive tone)
  - If cross-linking dominates then the polymer chains form a three-dimensional network and become insoluble (negative tone)



Insoluble



# Quantifying Response to Radiation



Number average molecular weight: 
$$M_n^0 = \frac{wN_A}{N_0} \implies N_0 = \frac{wN_A}{M_n^0}$$

 $w = sample \ weight(g), \ N_A = Avogadro's \ Number, \ N_0 = Number \ of \ Molecules$ 

Absorbed dose = D(ev/g), Number of Scissions =  $N^*[G(s)/100]Dw$ 

$$M_n^* = \frac{wN_A}{N_0 + N^*} \implies \frac{1}{M_n^*} = \frac{1}{M_n^0} + [G(s)/100N_A]D$$

- G(s), G(x) = number of scissions, x-links/100 eV of deposited energy
  - G(s) values range from 1.3 (PMMA) to 10 (poly(olefin sulfones))
  - G(x) values range from 0.1 (poly(ethene)) to 10 (epoxyside chains)





## PMMA - Positive Resist







## HSQ - Negative Resist



$$HOSiO_{3/2} + SiO_2 + H_2^{\uparrow} + H_2O^{\uparrow}$$

Hydrolysis, H<sub>2</sub>O

Oxidation, >350 ° C,  $[O_2] > 50$  ppm

HSiO<sub>3/2</sub> Cage-Like Structure HSiO<sub>3/2</sub> Network Structure

Rearrangement,


Bond

300-500 ° C

Redistribution, 400-550 ° C

$$HOSiO_{3/2} + SiO_2 + H_2^{\uparrow} + H_2O^{\uparrow}$$

$$SiO_2 + SiH_4$$






# Ontrast Mechanism in Single Component Resist



- Single component materials (e.g. PMMA) > main-chain scissioning leads to reduction in molecular weight
  - Developer removes low molecular weight material first
  - Need large difference in pre- and post-exposure molecular weights (100 x)
    - ➤ High molecular weight starting material
  - Low contrast mechanism, but can be optimized by minimizing polydispersivity  $(M_w/M_n)$



After M.J. Bowden, L.F. Thompson and J.P. Ballantyne, J. Vac. Sci. Technol., 12, 1294 (1975)






#### **Contrast**




#### Normalized Film Thickness



$$D_g^i D_g^x D_g^0 \qquad \text{Log Dose}$$

$$Contrast : \gamma_n = \left[\log \frac{D_g^0}{D_g^i}\right]^{-1}$$

#### Normalized Film



$$D_P^0$$
  $D_P$  Log Dose

 $Contrast: \gamma_p = \left[\log \frac{D_P}{D_P^0}\right]^{-1}$ 

• High contrast is essential to achieving high resolution. Low contrast is useful in forming surface topography.







### Contrast



Dissolution rate contrast: 
$$\gamma = \frac{d \ln r}{d \ln E}$$

$$PSF = \frac{e^{-(x-x_0)^2/2\sigma^2}}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}, \sigma = 10 nm$$





- Contrast affects:
  - Resolution
  - Development stability
  - Etch resistance (remaining thickness)
- Required value > 5






## Sensitivity



- Sensitivity improved by making each electron do more chemistry
  - Reduces demands on source (key driver in optical lithography) > increased throughput
  - Reduces space charge
  - Reduces wafer heating ➤ improved pattern placement


Unscreened interactions between electrons in beam lead to random variations in trajectories > blur



Non-uniform heating as e-beam scans across wafer.

Thermal expansion

pattern placement errors







### Contrast Mechanisms



- Chemically amplified (CA) materials undergo a change in solubility <u>and</u> polarity
  - Developer attacks soluble, polar regions, affects non-polar regions much more slowly
    - Control of hydrophobicity vs hydrophilicity is critical
    - Material becomes soluble once number density of deprotected sites

exceeds critical value

High contrast mechanism


After W.D. Hinsberg, F.A. Houle, M.I. Sanchez and G.M. Wallraff, IBM J. Res. & Dev. 45, 667 (2001)





## Chemically Amplified Resists









## Acid Generator Properties



- Acid precursor and radiolysis products are non-volatile at maximum wafer temperature realized during wafer exposure and under conditions maintained at wafer plane
  - Acid molecules are bulky/large
  - Acid formation does not occur through a leaving reaction
  - Acid associated with polar functionalities of the resist resin component






## Deprotection Reaction Properties




- Kinetics  $(K_d)$  of acid catalyzed reaction zero at maximum substrate temperature  $\geq$  high activation energy  $(E_a)$
- $Kd \neq 0$  > reaction products non-volatile



Protected hydroxystyreneProtected Methacrylic Acid

(Resin in 248 nm resists) (Resin in 193 nm resists)







## Bake Temperature & Stability



- Low E<sub>a</sub> materials: deprotection reaction occurs at ambient temperature reducing sensitivity to PEB delay effects.
- High E<sub>a</sub> materials: deprotection only occurs at high temperatures allowing PAB above T<sub>g</sub>. Polymer densifies above T<sub>g</sub> and diffusion rates of contaminants are significantly reduced.





## **Process Stability**



<b>Process Sensitive Parameter</b>	Value
Post-Exposure Delay (PED) Time	> 3 hrs
Development Time	< 5% CD/minute
Etch Resistance	= Polyhydroxystyrene
Post Exposure Bake (PEB)	< 1% CD/°C
Developer	0.26 N TMAH
Vacuum Compatibility	Zero outgassing

- Outgassing contaminates lithography systems affects choice of resist chemistry
- Note optical transparency is <u>not</u> a requirement for e-beam resists






#### Adhesion



• Resist are generally non-polar and therefore do not adhere well to hydrated or polar surfaces. Termination of a surface with non-polar functionalities improves adhesion.







## **Resist Coating**





$$-\eta \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} = \rho \omega^2 r \quad \Rightarrow Thickness \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega}}$$

Balance viscous and centrifugal forces

$$\eta = vis\cos ity$$
,  $\rho = density$ ,  $\omega = rotation\ rate$ 

Emslie, Bonner and Peck, *J. Appl. Phys.*, **29** 858 (1958)





Meyerhofer, J. Appl. Phys., 49 3993 (1978)

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{-c2\omega^2 h^3}{3\eta}; \quad \frac{dL}{dt} = \frac{(1-c)2\omega^2 h^3}{3\eta} - e$$

S = solids, L = liquids, h = thickness,  $\eta = kinematic viscosity$ c = solids concentration, e = solvent evaporation rate






## Development



- Three main steps in dissolution:
  - Transport of OH<sup>-</sup> ions
     to film surface
  - Deprotonation of phenolic group (dynamic equilibrium process)
  - Transport of ionized polymer chain into solution (depends on critical ionization fraction)



"Advancements to the critical ionization dissolution model", S.D. Burns, G.M. Schmid, P.C. Tsiartas, C. G. Willson and L. Flanagin, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.*, **B20** p537 (2002)

"Novolak—diazonaphthoquinone resists: The central role of phenolic strings", A. Reiser, Z. Yan, Y-K. Han, and M. S. Kim, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.*, **B18** p1288 (2000)

"Surface roughness development during photoresist dissolution", L.W. Flanagin, V.K. Singh, C. Grant Willson S.D. Burns, G.M. Schmid and P.C. Tsiartas, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.*, **B17** p1371 (1999)





#### Etch Resistance



- Ability of resist to withstand physical and chemical attack during plasma etching is essential for pattern transfer.
  - Onishi parameter:  $N/(N_c-N_o)$ , correlates with etch rate due to energetic ion bombardment.
  - Ring parameter:  $M_{CR}/M_{TOT}$ , correlates with etch resistance in chemical etches.
  - Organosilicon materials provide good etch resistance in oxygen plasmas

